

# Audit and Standards Advisory Committee

6th December 2023

# Report from the Corporate Director of Finance and Resources

Lead Cabinet Member Deputy Leader and Cabinet
Member for Finance, Resources &
Reform

## **INTERNAL AUDIT INTERIM REPORT - 2023-24**

Wards Affected:	All
Key or Non-Key Decision:	Non-Key
Open or Part/Fully Exempt: (If exempt, please highlight relevant paragraph of Part 1, Schedule 12A of 1972 Local Government Act)	Open
List of Appendices:	Appendix 1 – Status and Delivery of 2023-24 Plan Appendix 2 – Summary of Audit Findings Appendix 3 – Summary of Follow-up Activity
Background Papers:	None
Contact Officer(s): (Name, Title, Contact Details)	Darren Armstrong, Head of Audit and Investigations <u>Darren.Armstrong@Brent.gov.uk</u> ; 020 8937 1751

## 1.0 Executive Summary

- 1.1. This report outlines the work undertaken by Internal Audit in respect of delivery of the 2023-24 Internal Audit Plan.
- 1.2 The report is intended to support the Audit and Standards Advisory Committee in obtaining assurance that the Council has a sound framework of governance, risk management and internal control. It does this by summarising delivery of the 2023-24 Internal Audit plan to date, updating on the performance of the function, highlighting areas where high priority recommendations have been made and commenting on the level of implementation of audit recommendations by management.

#### 2.0 Recommendation

2.1 The Committee is asked to note the contents of the report.

#### 3.0 Detail

## 3.1 Contribution to Borough Plan Priorities & Strategic Context

- 3.1.1 The role and mission of the Internal Audit function is to enhance and protect organisational value by providing risk-based and objective assurance, advice and insight. Internal Audit helps the Council to accomplish its objectives by bringing a systematic, disciplined approach to evaluating and improving the effectiveness of the governance, risk management and control processes in place.
- 3.1.2 The mission of Internal Audit is achieved through providing a combination of risk-based assurance and consulting activities. The assurance suite of work involves assessing how well the systems and processes are designed and operating in order to effectively mitigate risk, while consulting activities aid with the improvement in systems and processes where necessary.
- 3.1.3 The response of the Council to the activity of Internal Audit should lead to the strengthening of governance arrangements and the control environment, and therefore, contribute to the achievement of strategic objectives.

## 3.2 Background

- 3.2.1 The Council's Internal Audit function is delivered in accordance with the Public Sector Internal Audit Standards (PSIAS). The PSIAS set out the requirements for public sector internal auditing and encompasses the mandatory elements of the Institute of Internal Auditors (IIA) International Professional Practices Framework.
- 3.2.2 The delivery of the service is also underpinned by the Internal Audit Charter, which defines the purpose, authority, responsibility and position of Internal Audit within Brent Council. The function continues to operate a co-sourced delivery model, where internal audit services are provided by in-house staff, with a small portion of work delivered by a co-sourced provider, PwC.

## 3.3 Delivery of the 2023-24 Plan

3.3.1 The 2023-24 Internal Audit Plan was agreed by the Audit and Standards Advisory Committee in March 2023, and was developed to provide assurance against key risk areas that may threaten the achievement of the Council's corporate objectives and priorities. The plan was drafted from a number of sources including the Council's latest strategic risk register, an Internal Audit risk assessment, audit plans of other local authorities, intelligence from previous audits, and CIPFA good governance guidelines. The plan also seeks to provide rolling assurance over the Council's key systems and processes, including key financial systems, and areas where senior management has requested independent assurance.

- 3.3.2 While Internal Audit delivers a risk based annual audit plan, the service remains available to provide ad hoc consultancy and advice work in addition to the agreed plan. This approach allows Internal Audit to flex its resources and to proactively support control enhancements across a number of areas.
- 3.3.3 A summary of the performance against the 2023-24 Internal Audit Plan is shown in the table below:

Summary of Internal Audit Activity (as at 31 October 2023)	Number
Audits carried forward from 2022-23	10
Audits per the 2023-24 Plan	32
Additional reviews requested	4
Total audits planned for 2023-24	46
<ul> <li>Audits completed (final report issued)</li> </ul>	14
<ul> <li>Audits at draft report stage</li> </ul>	1
- Audits in progress/planning	16
<ul> <li>Audits not yet commenced</li> </ul>	13
- Audits cancelled	2
Follow-up reviews completed	6

- 3.3.4 A detailed summary of the status and number and level of risk issues raised against each audit included within the 2023-24 plan can be seen at *Appendix* 1.
- 3.3.5 For audits completed in Q1/2 2023-24, a summary of issues relating to audits completed since the last update provided in June 2023 can be seen at **Appendix 2.**

## 3.4 Summary of Risk Issues

- 3.4.1 For each review undertaken, where gaps or weaknesses in the design and operation of controls are highlighted, or where areas for the further improvement/enhancement of controls are identified, recommendations are raised and agreed with management.
- 3.4.2 Findings and issues raised by Internal Audit (and therefore the resulting recommendations) are graded in terms of the associated level of risk. An indication of the level of assurance and confidence provided from an audit review is therefore gained by examining the number and level of issues identified.
- 3.4.3 The following definitions are used to inform these ratings:

Critical	A finding that could have a: critical impact on operational performance; critical monetary or financial statement impact; critical breach in laws and regulations that could result in material fines or consequences; and/or a critical impact on the reputation or brand of the organisation which could threaten its future viability.
High	A finding that could have a: significant impact on operational performance; significant monetary or financial statement impact; significant breach in

	laws and regulations resulting in significant fines and consequences; and/or a significant impact on the reputation or brand of the organisation.
Medium	A finding that could have a: moderate impact on operational performance; moderate monetary or financial statement impact; moderate breach in laws and regulations resulting in fines and consequences; and/or a moderate impact on the reputation or brand of the organisation.
Low	A finding that could have a: minor impact on the organisation's operational performance; Minor monetary or financial statement impact; minor breach in laws and regulations with limited consequences; and/or a minor impact on the reputation of the organisation.

3.4.4 The below table summarises the number of issues raised in-year in respect of the delivery of the 2023-24 plan to date:

	Number	%
Total number of issues raised in 2023-24 to date:	43	
Critical risk	0	0%
High risk	10	23%
Medium risk	22	51%
Low risk	11	26%

3.4.5 A detailed summary of the number and level of risk issues raised against each audit included within the 2023-24 plan can be seen at *Appendix 1*.

#### **Additional Reviews**

- 3.4.6 Internal Audit continued to carry out consultancy and advice work during the year, where required and/or requested. To date, four additional pieces of work have been undertaken:
  - Nursery Education Grant (NEG2) Overpayment.
  - Alternative Provision Grant- Brent River College.
  - ASC Supported Living.
  - Barham Park Financial Review.
- 3.4.7 Internal Audit have continued to complete the periodic reviews of payment claims for the Supporting Families Programme and carried out grant determinations.

#### 3.5 School Reviews

3.5.1 A programme of school audits is undertaken to provide assurance over the key governance arrangements and financial management controls in place within

individual schools. Seven school audit reviews are scheduled to be carried-out as part of the 2023-24 plan. To date:

- Fieldwork has been completed at two schools.
- Two school audits are scheduled to be undertaken in Q3.
- Three school audits are scheduled to be undertaken in Q4.
- 3.5.2 Audit has also been requested to carry out a review of the Brent Music Service. Planning for this is currently in progress.

## 3.6 Follow-up Activity

- 3.6.1 Internal Audit recommendations emanating from all planned audit work is subject to follow-up to ensure that agreed actions have been implemented.
- 3.6.2 So far during 2023-24, 6 follow-up reviews have been completed relating to audit work completed in 2022-23. The result of which is summarised in the below table:

Action Status	High	Medium	Low
<b>Total Actions</b>	4	36	11
Implemented	2 (50%)	24 (67%)	10 (91%)
Partially Implemented	2 (50%)	8 (22%)	0
Not Implemented	0	4 (11%)	1 (9%)
No longer relevant or superseded	0	0	0

- 3.6.3 Details of follow-up activity relating to 2023-24 can be seen at *Appendix 3*.
- 3.6.4 Where actions were found to remain partially or not implemented, Internal Audit have agreed revised implementation dates.

#### 3.7 Overdue actions

- 3.7.1 Where actions are found to remain partially or not implemented at follow-up, revised target dates are agreed with management. Outstanding actions are then monitored and reported via departmental 'action trackers', which are reported to Departmental Management Teams on a quarterly basis. These trackers contain all actions that relating to audits or follow-up work completed since 2021-22, including those that may not yet be due for implementation, or where a follow-up is in progress.
- 3.7.2 In order to identify actions as 'overdue', the following criteria is applied:

- a) Internal Audit has undertaken/completed a follow-up review.
- b) The actions were assessed as being partially or not implemented; and
- c) The revised target implementation date has elapsed.
- 3.7.3 Using the above criteria, we can report the following position of overdue actions as of 31 October 2023:

Number of actions outstanding (past revised target dates)	28	
Critical risk	0	0%
High risk	5	18%
Medium risk	18	64%
Low risk	5	18%

3.7.4 It should be noted that this is a live and ongoing process, and therefore the position of overdue actions changes on a daily/weekly basis. Internal Audit continues to liaise with management to close all outstanding actions. Engagement with management continues to be positive, and any issues regarding the persistent non-implementation of actions will be raised with the Audit and Standards Advisory Committee as appropriate.

#### 3.8 Internal Audit Performance

- 3.8.1 One of the core principles of the PSIAS is quality assurance and continuous improvement. To this end, the PSIAS require the HIA to develop and maintain a quality assurance and improvement programme (QAIP) that covers all aspects of internal audit activity.
- 3.8.2 Internal Audit has developed a QAIP that is designed to provide reasonable assurance to the various stakeholders of the service that Internal Audit:
  - performs its work in accordance with the PSIAS (including the Definition of Internal Auditing and Code of Ethics) and the CIPFA Statement on the role of the Head of Internal Audit;
  - operates in an effective and efficient manner;
  - is perceived by stakeholders as adding value and continually improving its operations; and
  - undertakes both periodic and on-going internal assessments, and commissions an external assessment at least once every five years.

#### Internal Assessments

3.8.3 In accordance with the PSIAS, internal quality and performance assessments are undertaken through both on-going and periodic reviews. On-going assessments are conducted as a matter of course, in-line with the service's protocols and audit methodology. These assessments include management

- supervision of audit activity, the application of a consistent audit methodology across audits, regular 1:2:1s between audit management and auditors to review and monitor performance, and the review and approval of all outputs by the Audit Manager and HIA.
- 3.8.4 Regular periodic assessments are also undertaken during the year to monitor and measure the impact of, and value added by the delivery of the annual audit plan. A key aspect of these assessments comprises of the quarterly progress reports presented to the Audit and Standards Advisory Committee, which summarise progress against the annual plan and key outcomes of audit activity. Furthermore, an annual assessment is undertaken in drafting the annual audit plan, which is aligned to the Council's Strategic Risk Register to ensure that the work of internal audit centres around the key risks that threaten the achievement of corporate objectives.
- 3.8.5 Other periodic assessments include (but are not limited to):
  - annual self-assessments to ensure conformance with the PSIAS;
  - regular feedback from senior management and Council Management Team
  - benchmarking with other London Borough internal audit services, via the Cross Council Assurance Service and London Audit Group.

#### **External Assessments**

3.8.6 The PSIAS require that an External Quality Assessment (EQA) of Internal Audit is undertaken at least every five years. As reported in June 2023, a review of Internal Audit's performance was undertaken in Q3-4 2022-23. The assessment was led by the Head of Internal Audit for the London Borough of Barnet and found that the Internal Audit Service *Generally Conforms* with the PSIAS, which is the highest available level of assessment for local authorities.

## **Key Performance Indicators**

3.8.7 To complement and inform the ongoing and periodic assessments detailed above, Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) have been defined to measure the performance of the internal audit service. Achievement scores against each of these KPIs will be reported as part of the 2023-24 Annual Report. An interim update has been provided below:

КРІ	Status
<b>KPI1 - 90%</b> of the Annual Internal Audit Plan completed by 31 March (conclusion of fieldwork)	Internal Audit continues to carry a vacancy from late Q2 to now. This has impacted on the delivery of the plan. However, it is anticipated that the KPI is on track to be achieved.
<b>KPI2 - 100%</b> of the Annual Internal Audit Plan completed by 30 April (conclusion of fieldwork)	Internal Audit continues to carry a vacancy from late Q2 to now. This has impacted on the delivery of the plan.

КРІ	Status
	However, it is anticipated that the KPI is on track to be achieved.
KPI3 - 100% acceptance of all Critical and High risk recommendations	100% acceptance of all high-risk recommendations (no Critical recommendations).
<b>KPI4</b> – Follow-up of all Critical and High-risk recommendations within (at least) 12 months of the final report being issued.	100% followed up within 12 months.
<b>KPI5 - 90%</b> of client satisfaction surveys rated the service as good or better.	One form returned so far. KPI on target.

## 4.0 Stakeholder and ward member consultation and engagement

4.1 None

#### 5.0 Financial Considerations

5.1 The report is for noting and so there are no direct financial implications.

## 6.0 Legal Considerations

6.1 All Local Authorities are required to make proper provision for Internal Audit in line with the 1972 Local Government Act and Accounts and Audit Regulations 2011 (as amended). The Public Sector Internal Audit Standards 2017, also require proper planning of audit work.

## 7.0 Equality, Diversity & Inclusion (EDI) Considerations

- 7.1 None
- 8.0 Climate Change and Environmental Considerations
- 8.1 None
- 9.0 Communication Considerations
- 10.1 None

## Report sign off:

#### Minesh Patel

Corporate Director of Finance and Resources